REMARKS

[0003] With the Request for Continued Examination filed herewith,

Applicant respectfully requests entry of the following remarks and

reconsideration of the subject application. Applicant respectfully requests entry of

the amendments herein. The remarks and amendments should be entered under

37 CFR. § 1.116 as they place the application in better form for appeal, or for

resolution on the merits.

[0004] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all

of the claims of the application. Claims 1-26 are presently pending. Claims

amended herein are 1, 2, 12, 13, and 15. No claims withdrawn or cancelled

herein. No new claims added,

Serial No.: 10/786,885 Atty Docket No.: BO1-0173US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

Presented the Residence of IP To www.learness.com 509.324.9256

Statement of Substance of Interview

Examiner Monica Huson and Michael D. Carter conducted a telephone [0005]

interview on June 13, 2008. Applicant greatly appreciates the Examiner's

willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of us in our common

goal of an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0006] During the interview, I discussed how the claims differed from the

cited references, namely Yoshino and Irvine. Without conceding the propriety of

the rejections and in the interest of expediting prosecution, I also proposed several

possible clarifying amendments.

Examiner understood [0007] the to tentatively agree

independent claims 1 and 12 would be patentable over the cited art if amended as

discussed during the interview. For example, the Examiner indicated that

clarification regarding that the prepreg material is formed, partially curing the

prepreg material, and then after partially curing the prepreg material, providing an

elastomeric caul over the prepreg material would distinguish the claims over the

cited art, namely Yoshino and Irvine. However, the Examiner indicated that she/he

would need to review the cited art more carefully and/or do another search, and

requested that the proposed amendments be presented in writing.

Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during [8000]

the interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable

over the cited references of record for at least the reasons discussed during the

interview.

Serial No.: 10/786,885 Atty Docket No.: BO1-0173US

Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

The Business of IP ** www.leetrayes.com 509.324.9256

Formal Request for an Interview

[0009] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the

Examiner. I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative

for the Applicant—so that we can discuss this matter so as to resolve any

outstanding issues quickly and efficiently over the phone.

[0010] Please contact me to schedule a date and time for a telephone

interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for me,

I welcome your call as well. My contact information may be found on the last

page of this response.

Claim Amendments

[0011] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the

interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 1, 2, 12, 13, and 15

herein. Applicant amends claims to clarify claimed features. Such amendments

are made to expedite prosecution and to more quickly identify allowable subject

matter. Such amendments are merely intended to clarify the claimed features,

and should not be construed as further limiting the claimed invention in response

to the cited references.

Serial No.: 10/786,885 Atty Docket No.: BO1-0173US

Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter

-14-

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 103

[0012] Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In light of the amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the above-discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot. Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

[0013] The amendment to the claims clarify that the prepreg material is formed and partially cured, and then after partially curing the prepreg material, providing an elastomeric caul over the prepreg material. Yoshino in view of Irvine do not teach or suggest partially curing the prepreg material prior to providing an elastomeric caul over the prepreg material.

Serial No.: 10/786,885 Atty Docket No.: BO1-0173US Atty/Agent: Michael D. Carter



Conclusion

[0014] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and prompt issuance of the application. If any issues remain that prevent issuance of this application, the **Examiner is urged to contact me before issuing a subsequent Action**. Please call or email me at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Lee & Hayes, PLLC Representatives for Applicant

_____/Michael D. Carter/_____ Dated: ____7/10/08____

Michael D. Carter (michaelcarter@leehayes.com; 512-505-8164)

Registration No. 56661

Emmanuel Rivera (emmanuel@leehayes.com; 512-505-8162)

Registration No. 45760

Customer No. 60483

Facsimile: (509) 323-8979

www.leehayes.com